UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,237	06/29/2006	Henning Kloss	ARTHP120US	2257
23623 7590 07/09/2008 AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR, CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER	
			YANG, ANDREW	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/585,237	KLOSS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANDREW YANG	3733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	av 2008					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u>/</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>17,22,26,29,31 and 34</u> is/are pending	4) Claim(s) <u>17,22,26,29,31 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,22,26,29,31 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicants' After Final Amendment filed on May 23, 2008. In light of amendments and arguments, prosecution is hereby reopened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 22, 26, 29, 31, and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6368350) in view of Fuhrmann et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5002576).

Erickson et al. discloses an intervertebral disc implant with a base plate 21, a cover plate 20, and an intervertebral disc 22. The intervertebral disc 22 is seated on the base plate 21 in such a way to permit translational and rotational movements (Column 7, Lines 31-35). The cover plate 20 has an articulating surface 25 that articulates with an articulating surface 28 of the intervertebral disc 22 and the two surfaces 25, 28 articulate on partial spherical surfaces with the same radii (Figure 6). The intervertebral disc 22 is made from a UHMWPE and the cover plate 20 and base plate 21 are made from titanium (Column 10, Lines 3-7). The cover plate and 20 and base plate 21 can be implanted into an adjacent vertebrae without bone cement since each plate has projection 30 for embedding into each vertebrae. Furthermore, the disclosure of

Erickson et al. states that the device is directed to providing an intervertebral implant for repairing a diseased intervertebral joint (Column 1, Lines 53-55) and is considered to anticipated the listing of diseases in claims 29, 31, and 34.

Erickson et al. fails to disclose the base plate and cover plate having a ceramic coating of titanium-niobium-nitride. Fuhrmann et al. teaches an intervertebral disc having an upper base plate 3 and a lower base plate 4. The upper and lower base plates can be coated in a ceramic coating since such coatings are known to enhance ingrowth of body tissue (Column 3, Lines 15-20). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Erickson et al. with the base plate and cover plates having a ceramic coating in view of Fuhrmann et al. in order to enhance body tissue ingrowth.

With regards to claim 26, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a titanium-niobium-nitride as the ceramic coating, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

With regards to claim 34, Erickson et al. discloses treating intervertebral diseases as previously described.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW YANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3472. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Yang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773